

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/775,565	WENTLAND ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert P. Swiatek	3643	

All Participants:

(1) Robert P. Swiatek.

(2) Mr. Dale Barr.

Date of Interview: 25 January 2007

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: =

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Possible 35 USC 102(b)

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 16-35

Prior art documents discussed:

Schwertfeger et al. (US 5,474,260)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative authorized amendment of claim 1 to indicate the at least one sink is not accessible from within the interior of the at least one stall and a change to claim 5 to delete reference to the first separator in lines 12, 13; additionally, cancelation of claims 16-35 was authorized. See examiner's amendment..